IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS COUNTY OF SUMMIT

RECEIVED

MAY 2 7 REC'D

LAW DEPT.

CANDICE MARIE GRIESMAR 340 Elm St.

Ravenna, OH 44266

CASE NO.

Plaintiff

JUDGE:

VS.

CITY OF STOW, OHIO 3760 Darrow Rd.

Stow OH 44224

COMPLAINT:

Class Action

and

OFC. J. BAILEY #743 Lt. H. PRUSHA #707 Others Presently Unknown

c/o Stow Police Department Stow Safety Bldg.

3800 Darrow Rd. Stow, OH 44224 42 U.S.C. 1983 Federal Civil Rights
State Claims for False Arrest

and Imprisonment

Violations State Civil Rights

Violation Ohio Public Policy

Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

Defendants

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and Ohio law seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and money damages. Plaintiff ("Ms. Griesmar") asserts violations of her federal and state constitutional rights including rights and guarantees under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and related rights under the Ohio Constitution.
- 2. Ms. Griesmar was subjected to unlawful detention of her person and loss of her property.

- 3. Ms. Griesmar claims violations of clearly established constitutional rights by official actions objectively unreasonable in light of her rights, including actions pursuant to policies or customs with said conduct being a deliberate moving force behind the violations.
- 4. Ms. Griesmar is seeking relief for losses which occurred and continue as initiated by Stow police officer J. Bailey and Lt. H. Prusha and others when they arrested Ms. Griesmar without a warrant and seized her person and property.
- 5. Ms. Griesmar seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, *inter alia*, directing defendants to establish and to enforce policies including, without limitation, (a) training and directing officers to obtain arrest warrants issued on probable cause for continued detention *forthwith* without undue delay within at least 12 hours of any warrantless arrest (b) training and directing patrol officers on completion and service of traffic citations to cease all questioning of detainees absent reasonable suspicion of separate illegal activity prior to completion and service of the traffic violation (c) and to cease and desist all continuing practices contrary to the foregoing, including use of other police officers to administer oaths or take acknowledgements of complaints and affidavits in any case of warrantless arrest where officers fail to forthwith obtain an arrest warrant for continued detention.
- 6. Ms. Griesmar seeks compensatory and punitive damages for seizure of her person and her vehicle, together with awards of reasonable expert and attorney fees and costs and such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION

7. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and related Ohio constitutional provisions and statutes. The acts complained of occurred within Summit County; venue is proper in Summit County.

PARTIES

- 8. At times material Ms. Griesmar was and is a resident of the State of Ohio.
- 9. Defendant City of Stow is a formed governmental entity in the State of Ohio. The City is a political subdivision existing pursuant to charter and the laws of the State of Ohio having the authority to sue and be sued in its corporate name.
- 10. The defendant City operates a police department to enforce the laws of the City, the State of Ohio and the United States.
- 11. At all times material defendants, officers J. Bailey and Lt. H. Prusha, were law enforcement officers trained by the City, directed to act within the scope of that employment, and had been employed by the City for years prior to the complained of incidents.
- 12. Another officer LNU was present in the cruiser with officer Bailey. On information and belief this officer was being trained by officer Bailey.
- 13. At the material times defendants Bailey and Prusha acted individually and in their respective official capacities as employees of the defendant City but, on information and belief, either or both of them may have acted contrary to established policy.
- 14. Ms. Griesmar's claims are fairly typical of the claims of persons she seeks to represent and, with assistance of counsel, she will fairly and adequately represent the interests of each class.
- 15. The two classes which Ms. Griesmar seeks to represent are (a) all persons who were subjected to warrantless arrest where no warrant was later sought or issued without undue delay by a neutral and detached magistrate, herein "the Riverside class" and (b) all persons who stopped for traffic offenses, were handed their completed traffic citations, then first interrogated, and subjected to warrantless arrest, herein "the Robinson class"
- 16. On information and belief, the Riverside class may contain hundreds of members such that

joinder of all such persons is impracticable. The potential class size of the Robinson class is presently undetermined.

17. There are questions of law and fact presented by the Ms. Griesmar in this action that are common to the Class. Ms. Griesmar's claims are fairly typical of the claims of the classes she seeks to represent and, with reasonable assistance, Ms. Griesmar can fairly and adequately represent the interests of each Class.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation §1983)

- 18. All foregoing allegations are re-alleged.
- 19. On Thursday May 30, 2019 at approximately 00:58 a.m., while returning home from work, Ms. Griesmar was subjected to a traffic stop and charged by citation for 56 mph in 35zone. Exh.1
- 20. Ms. Griesmar was arrested and charged with felony and misdemeanor drug charges. All were not later prosecuted but she was indicted on a fifth-degree felony drug charge. Exhs. 2 to 5.
- 21. After issuing and serving the citation, Ms. Griesmar was not told that she was free to go, but was interrogated, her vehicle searched without her consent, and she was subjected to warrantless arrest, and detention of her person and property.
- 22. Camera and report records show that Ms. Griesmar was stopped for speeding and briefly questioned about that matter. No mention was made of suspicion of any other activity. The officer "returned to my cruiser to write out a citation".
- 23. Writing the citation was recorded by in car camera. After the citation was written the officer returned to Ms. Griesmar's vehicle and served it, then first claiming "I continually smelled a light odor of marijuana coming from her vehicle"
- 24. The officer claimed that "after explaining and issuing Candice the speeding citation", the officer then first "asked her about the odor of marijuana" and "asked her for consent to search her

vehicle and she stated she just wanted to go home". The officer then "told her due to the odor of marijuana it was actually not an option and that [he] was going to search her vehicle".

- 25. The federal and state constitutions protect against unreasonable search and seizure.
- 26. Section 14, Article 1 of the Ohio Constitution provides that on completion of the citation Ms. Griesmar was free to go and not subject to interrogation.
- 27. The federal and state constitutions require that on warrantless arrest a reasonably prompt determination of probable cause be made by a neutral and detached magistrate.
- 28. Ohio Revised Code §2935.05 and 2935.08 implement the foregoing protections. Upon filing of charges after warrantless arrest a warrant *shall* issue and "all further detention and further proceedings shall be pursuant to such affidavit or complaint and warrant".
- 29. Exhibits 2 and 3 are the complaint forms used by these arresting officers; each stated: "Complete this section if a warrant on complaint is to be issued".
- 30. As part of standard practice, that section was left blank on each Exhibit 2 and 3.
- 31. Contrary to clearly established law, as evidenced on the face of the complaint forms, no warrant on the complaint was sought; no reasonably prompt determination of probable cause for arrest was obtained by the defendants after warrantless arrest contrary to clearly established law.
- 32. Ms. Griesmar states that all foregoing actions of defendants were intentional acts, taken a part of customary practice, procedures, and purported training, but are violations of clearly established standards of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and our Ohio Constitution and laws enacted to implement the same.
- 33. The complaint forms were sworn to by one police officer before another police officer.

 Any warrant obtained solely upon such a complaint is contrary to clearly established law.

- 34. Reliance on R.C. 2935.081 absent obtaining an arrest warrant forthwith is part of a practice designed to avoid prompt determination of probable cause by a neutral and detached magistrate.
- 35. On information and belief, a class of others have been arrested without a warrant and without prompt determinations of probable cause for such warrantless arrest.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Inadequate Training and Supervision)

- 36. All foregoing allegations are re-alleged.
- 37. To Ms. Griesmar's information and belief defendant City's failure to maintain and enforce proper training or policies and procedures in prompt issuance of warrants only upon determination by neutral and detached magistrates and a custom of tolerance of acquiescence in violation of the constitutional requirement violated Ms. Griesmar's constitutional rights to due process and as aforesaid.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)

- 38. All foregoing allegations are re-alleged.
- 39. Ms. Griesmar is entitled to declaratory and preliminary and permanent injunction relief ending the complained of practices. She is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in order to obtain this relief.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (State Action False Arrest and Imprisonment)

- 40. All foregoing allegations are re-alleged.
- 41. Warrantless arrests are per se unreasonable. As a result of her arrest Ms. Griesmar was jailed for excessive time, required to post bond and to defend against the charges to her damage.
- 42. The case terminated October 30, 2019; further timely prosecution is barred by law. Exh. 6.

43. In the narrow context of her case, and potentially in the context of class members, defendants used excessive force in making these arrests.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (State Action -Ohio Constitutional and Public Policy Violations)

- 44. All foregoing allegations are re-alleged.
- 45. Defendants have a policy and practice of permitting police officers to make warrantless arrests without forthwith follow-up and without undue delay in obtaining a warrant for further detention of those arrested.
- 46. Said policy and practice violates clearly established state constitutional and statutory law and further violates Ohio public policy.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and Ohio constitutional and statutory law Ms. Griesmar demands declaratory and injunctive relief against defendants determining the practices of unreasonable delay in seeking probable cause determinations after warrantless arrest violate clearly established law and must cease and desist, for compensatory damages, attorneys' fees, costs and such other relief as this Court deems fair and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark H. Ludwig

Mark H. Ludwig (#0017246)

Law Office of Mark H. Ludwig, LLC
344 Stouffer Road

Fairlawn, OH 44333

330-472-1824

attymarkludwig@gmail.com

CV-2020-05-1564 Case.	OLDFIELD OF STOW 05/25/2020 14:15:28 PM CMCO Page 8 of 13
	STOW! YPAL COURT, SUMMIT COUNTY ONLO
	LT SUMMIT CO / JUVENILE COLIRT
	I STATE OF OHIO TICKET #
	CASE # 19TR D 5 155 }
	NAME CANDICE MARIE GRIESMAR
	STREET 1575 WINCHESTER Rd.
	CITY, STATE LYNOHURST, OH ZIP 44124
	OPERATOR LICENSE LETATE TOU
	UM084854/0H 12/3/97 11/20 12 14/2 6
	CLASS EXPIRES ENDORSEMENT(s)/RESTRICTION(s) SSR (last 4 dio)(s)
	D 1003- 82 CICOL CIMC CIOther
	E - " HAIN RACE FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY_RROOF?
	INO NIA
	* If no OL/State ID; REBUIRED decumentation attached:
	TO DEFENDANT: COMPLAINT ON 5-30 20 19 AT 00 58 AMPM, YOU S Operated/Passenger/Parked/Walked a DPassenger Motorcycle Blicycle Other
	□ Commercial DOT# □ ≥26,001 lbs. □ <1A Page Page To >1
	MANUEL LA
	COLUM ISTAR LICENSE # HOH 75/0 STATE OH
	UPON A PUBLIC HIGHWAY, NAMELY FISHCREEK RA
	INTHE CITY MAR) C
	THE COURTER !
	THE VALUE COMMITTED THE FOLLOWING OFFENSE(S).
	SPEED: 56 MPH in 35 MPH zone GORD GT.P.
	Radar DAIr TVASCAR TRACK
	OVI: D Under the influence of alcoholding of above. DOC DOC DOC DOC
	Fromitted blood alcohol concentration. BAC
	# of prigramic 1- complete control of the control o
	DRIVER LICENSE: Ellyine Not on serson Refolded USingended ORC ORD U.S. EXPIRED: <6 mostles D>6
	EXPIRED: U <6 mostles U>6 mostles U>6 mostles U Suspension Type: C)
	SAFETY BELT: Failure to wear DORC DORD DIR
	Litrium D Consense of Activity 1977
	OTHER OFFENSE: D'ORC D'ORC D'ORC D'ER
	OTHER OFFFUCE.
	DAVEMBLE HELD DEHICLE SEIZED DUVENILE OFFENDER
	TAXABIGNIDADIY
	WEATHER. IS SHOULD IN DIESE DEGIGNE I DAWN
	TRAFFIC: II Heavy I Moderate Alight I None
	AREA: Business Rural Residential Industry School CRASH: Yes Also Almost Caused Non-Injury Injury Fatal
	and technic translate.
	REMARKS: 19-09369
	ACCOMPANYING CRIMINAL CHARGE Dives Ding TOTAL # OFFENSES
	Value Comment of the
	Attitude for
	STOW, OHIO 44224 STOW, OHIO 44224 STOW, OHIO 44224 STOW, OHIO 44224 STOW, OHIO 442310
	If you fall to appear at this time and place you have
	The Issuing/charging law enforcement officer data
	Gjardey Law Enforcement Officer
	O O DEXHIBIT
	Issuing Law Enforcement Officer Issuing Officer: Verify address. If different from licensic address, write present address in space provided.
	OHPODED TO DOCA OF COMMENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRESENT AND PRESENT AND PROPERTY OF THE PRO
	0HP0060 10-0060-00 (REVISION 0509) COURT RECORD (86305) Sandra Kurt, Summit County Clerk of Courts (86305)

Case: 5:20-cv-01356-JPC Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 06/22/20 9 of 13. PageID #: 15

THE STOW MUNICIPAL COURT, STOW OHIO 44224

C111/	TWP OF	Sto	· ·		CASE NO.:	10	MA	10	17	
V.		1.0			ATTOM 140"	1_1	V 100/1	1 U/	14	
Name	Candice Marie	Griesmar	12/03/1997		COMPLAIN					
	-	340 Elm St	DOB		ORDERED	TOAPI	PEAR:			
Street Nu	mber and Name Ravenna	Ohio	44266		☑ WARRANT	ON CC	MDI AIN	יזיו	······································	*
City	•	State	Zip Code		Probable Car					
Compla	inant being first	duly sworn et	tatos that	dian Maria Orio						
	May	and succession at	tates that Cand 2019 in the CCi	ity Willocol	mar the de	efendant,	on or abou	it the _	30th	day c
Month	_	'Ye	ear	ır\	townsumb ot	***************************************	Stow	······································		, County
Summut	, State of Ohio,	did violate sec	ction number	2925.11	ofthe☑Oh	io Revis	ed Code	Codif	ied Ord	linances
		con	stituting a charge of (Insert essential facts		an an onnga- omini (Dxycodon		•		
+IMN :	Degree	·	ation of Section		OFC O	Barth	743		:	
worn to	and subscribed	before me by	said complainant th	is 30th day	of May		019	,		
				vay	Month	——→ <u> </u>		Ξ.		٠
					1+ Hnn	PA	sanh a	·. •y	07	
	Ame Suus	- 00	Complete this section		Lt JM Judge/Clenk/Deputy C		usha Officer	- 7	<u>0</u> 7	•
Officer, probabling him	Any Swoo Authorized to Execu le cause determi Mer before this astructions to ex	nation has bee	Complete this section on made in this case, unnecessary delay.	n if a warrant on , you are orde	complaint is to be issue	ueð	,	2 7	Q7	: adant) ar
ing him	Authorized to Execute cause determine the cause determined the cause determined the cause the cause the cause the cause determined the	nation has bee	en made in this case.	n if a warrant on	complaint is to be issued to arrest	นะสั	,	- 7		adant) ar
Officer probable ring him	Authorized to Execute cause determine the cause determined the cause determined the cause the cause the cause the cause determined the	nation has bee	en made in this case.	n if a warrant on	complaint is to be issue	neð eik	,	- 7	Q 7 (defer	stred
Officer, probablicing him pecial In	Authorized to Execute cause determing the before this astructions to example of the following the fo	ne a Warrant nation has bee court without ecuting officer ecuting Author	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r	n if a warrant on	red to arrest	neð eik	,	7		stred
Officer, probabling him pecial In	Authorized to Execute cause determing the before this astructions to example of the following the fo	nte a Warrant mation has bee court without ecuting officer	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r	n if a warrant on	red to arrest	neð eik		3clock		stred
Officer, probablicing him pecial In	Authorized to Execute cause determing the before this astructions to example of the following the fo	ne a Warrant nation has bee court without ecuting officer ecuting Author	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r	n if a warrant on	red to arrestudge/Clerk/Deputy Cl.	eik Ourt		3clock	Dute Is	suęd
Officer , probabling him pecial Ir ecceipt of rst Rece	Authorized to Execute cause determinate the cause determinate the cause determinate the executions to experience the cause of the cause	ne a Warrant mation has bee court without ecuting officer couting Author Received this	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r nity s warrant on	, you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl the Stow Municipal Co	eik Ourt	(0	3clock	Dute Is:	g HUNICIPAL
Officer, probabling him pecial Ir ecceipt of rst Rece	Authorized to Execute cause determing the before this astructions to example of the following the fo	ne a Warrant nation has bee court without ecuting officer ecuting Author	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r nity s warrant on	, you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl	eik Ourt		3clock 5 5 2clock	Dute Is:	g HUNICIPAL
Officer , probabling him pecial Ir ecceipt of rst Rece	Authorized to Execute cause determinate the cause determinate the cause determinate the executions to experience the cause of the cause	ne a Warrant mation has bee court without ecuting officer couting Author Received this	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r nity s warrant on	, you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl the Stow Municipal Co	eik ourt at	(0	3clock 5 5 2clock	Dute Is	g HUNICIPAL
Officer, probabling him pecial Ir	Authorized to Execute cause determinate the cause determinate the cause determinate the executions to experience the cause of the cause	ne a Warrant mation has bee court without ecuting officer couting Author Received this	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r nity s warrant on	you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl the Stow Municipal Co	eik ourt at		3clock 5 5 2clock	Dute Is:	stred
Officer, probabling him pecial Ir ecceipt of rst Rece	Authorized to Execute cause determinate the cause determinate the cause determinate the executions to experience the cause of the cause	ne a Warrant mation has bee court without ecuting officer couting Author Received this	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r nity s warrant on	you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl the Stow Municipal Co , 20 Officer/Title , 20	eik ourt at		3clock 5 5 2clock	Dute Is:	g HUNICIPAL
officer, probable ring him pecial In eccipt of rist Rece	Authorized to Execute cause determinations to except the second of the s	te a Warrant nation has bee court without ecuting officer ecuting Author Received this	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. r nity s warrant on	you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl the Stow Municipal Co , 20 Officer/Title , 20	eik ourt at		Sclock	Dute Is:	g HUNICIPAL
officer probable in probable i	Authorized to Execute cause determinations to except the second of the s	ecuting Author Received this Received this Copy Ove is a true a C	en made in this case, unnecessary delay. The second of th	you are orde	red to arrest udge/Clerk/Deputy Cl the Stow Municipal Co , 20 Officer/Title , 29	eik ourt at	Elius: Worrant cas C	Sclock	Dute Is:	B HUNICIPAL COURT OF

CV-2020-05-1564 Case: 5:20-cv-01356-JPC Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 06/22/20 10 of 13. PageID #: 16 Page 10 of 13

THE STOW MUNICIPAL COURT, STOW OHIO 44224

STATE OF OHIO/ CITY/TWP OF	COUNTY OF	SUMMIT		CASE NO ·	14/R1014	13/1
V.		-			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100
• '	arie Griesmar	12/03/1997 DOB		COMPLAINTORDERED T	T/ SUMMONS	
Chart M	340 Elm St			OKODEKCEO I	OAFFBAR:	
Street Number and Name Ravenna	01:		•			77.7.7.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.
	Ohio	44266		✓ WARRANT C	ON COMPLAINT	- N-10
City	State	Zip Code		✓ Probable Caus	e Affidavit Attached	
Complainant being fi	ıst duly sworn s	tates that	Candice Marie G	inesmar the defa	endant, on or about the	30% * 2
		2019 in the	VCity∏Villa	gel Township of	Stow	day of
Month						
Summit, State of Ohio	o, did violate se	ction number _	4729.51	of the Ohio	Revised Code Codi	fied Ordinances of
	coı	astituting a char	ge of Po facts with elements	secondary of DWIGGINDS D	rugs .	ara Orminateos Of
licensed termin	al distributor retail, a dan	of dangero	agistered wi	nolesale distributo	qty-7 Cyclobenza or of dangerous d ossesses, or poss 51(C)(3) of the O	rugs, a
Sworn to and subscrib	ed hefore me b	endl 12		OFC S	ab 743	
Sworn to and subscrib	en perote me o2	said complains	mt this 30th d	ay of May Month		
				1+ 2h a	na la	·
				Judge/Clerk/Deputy Cler	Plusha	107
To: Any So Officer Authorized to Ex A probable cause deter bring him/her before th Special Instructions to	mination has be	en made in this	case, you are o			(defendant) and
						
				Judge/Clerk/Deputy Clerk The Stow Municipal Cour		Date Issued
Receipt of Warrant by E	executing Antho	rity		•		
First Receipt	Received thi	s warrant on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 20	ato'clock	<u>⊒_</u> m. <u>∓</u>
				Officer/Title		5 5
Subsequent Receipt:	Received thi	s warrant on	•	, 20	_ato'clock	# F2
					, m	. ය ම , .
				Officer / Title	· <u>I</u>	TRUUR7
		-	-		©	Ď R
White: C	lesa Copy	Yellow: Aummor	r.	Mysika d Balk mode and the difference	. L	ng S
DATE 9-18-19			-	Pink: Lelèman's Copy	Bittle: Warrant on Complaint	
This is to certify that the	a ahova io a i-	a and annual				
copyon way rank on (solid teriol curves	linthe				
Stow Municipal Court, Surr	mit County, Ohio				FX	HIRIT 3
on <u>5-30-19</u>	ORIOV OF FOIL	- AOI			E11ZE	C I BERRE
(SEAL) By_	OSKY, CLERK C 今人					
	Sandra	Peputy Clerk Kurt, Summit C	County Clerk of	Courts		

Case: 5:20-cy-01356-JPC Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 00 STOW MUNICIPAL COURT	6/22/20 1 cm	1 of 13.	PageID #:	17 Page 11 of 13
MINOR MISDEMEANOR CITATION				
U SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT (Q., Q.)				
STATE OF OHIO, COUNTY OF SUMMIT TICKET				
TOWNSHIP OF NUMBER 59177				
VILLAGE OF (2) COURT CASE NUMBER				
[] CITY OF 19 (1/3 (2)				
VS.				
NAME Candice Marie Griesman				
ADDRESS 340 Elm St.				
CITY, STATE Ravenna, OH ZIP 44266				
SEX HEIGHT WEIGHT BLN BLN BLN 12/03/97 27				
SOCIAL SECURITY NO EMPLOYER: HOME PHONE				
(2/6) 288-1833				
COMPLAINT				
THE UNDERSIGNED, BEING DULY SWORN, UPON HIS OATH DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT THE PERSON WHOSE NAME IS INSCRIBED ABOVE DID	4			
ON_ 05/30//9 DOSK CAM				
Month / Day / Year AT PM				
IN THE TOWNSHIP VILLAGE ACITY OF STOW				
SUMMIT COUNTY (NO. 77) COMMITTED TO THE FOLLOWING OFFENSE:				•
THE FOLLOWING OFFENSE:				
Pagagories of B.C.				
Possession of Marijuana; No person shall knowingly obtain, possess, or use a Schedule I				
Controlled Substance, to wit: Marijuana in an				
amount less than 100 grams.				
Brutins.				
AT Graham Rd./Fishcreak Rd				
A MISDEMEANOR OF THE MINOR DEGREE.				
IN VIOLATION OF SECTION O. 12925. 11 M-OHIO REVISED CODE			•	÷
CODIFIED OFFINANCE OF				
WAS SERVED PERSONALLY ON THE DEFENDANT ON			•	
Month / Day / San				
SIGNAPORY OF ISSUING OFFICE STOW PD				
SIGNING THIS STATION TO SHOT CONSTITUTE AND A SHORE MENT DEPARTMENT				
ALLEGED. FAILURE TO SIGN MAX RESULT IN YOUR ARREST.				
x Car				
DETENDATION				
BEING DULY SWORN, THE ISSUING LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER STATES THAT HE HAS READ THE CITATION AND THAT IT IS TRUE.				
a'A.				
SIGNATURE OF ISSUING OFFICER				
O Settostion				
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME ON US/30/19				
Lt. 7 M PMM 10 7				
JUDGE - CLERK - DEPUTY CLERK - NOTARY PUBLIC				
YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR:				
## STOW MUNICIPAL COURT SUMMIT CO. JUVENILE CT. 4400 Courthouse Boulevard Contact Juvenile Court regarding				
Stow, Ohio 44224 COULD appearance				
Phone: (330) 643-2917				
MAC A COLOR AND A				
MONTH DAY YEAR TIME REQUIRED; DEFENDANT IS NOT ELIGIBLE TO				•
PAY WAIVER				
DATE 9-18-19	No. of Charles Say			
This is to certify that the abo	min lo a terre si			
copy of Carner Sucret	ove is a true ai			
Stow Municipal Court, Summit C	County, Ohio		EXHIBIT	' Δ
on 5-30-19	•	ä	馬 昆鬼肚肚 黑 忽 入 L	***
AMBER ZIBRITOSK	Y, CLERK OF CO	OURTS		
COURT RECORD (SEAL) By				
Sandra Kurt, Summit County Clerk of Courts	Depu	ty Clerk		

SANDHA KUHI

2019 JUN -7 PM 12: 19

COUNTY OF SUMMIT, OHIO SUMMIT COUNTY CI FRK OF COLIFTS

INDICTMENT TYPE: DIRECT

CASE NO. CR 2019-05-1866

INDICTMENT FOR: AGGRAVATED POSSESSION OF DRUGS (1) §2925.11(A), 2925.11(C)(1)(A) F5

In the Common Pleas Court of Summit County, Ohio, of the term of MAY in the year of our Lord, Two Thousand Nineteen.

The Jurors of the Grand Jury of the State of Ohio, within and for the body of the County aforesaid, being duly impaneled and sworn and charged to inquire of and present all offenses whatever committed within the limits of said County, on their oaths, IN THE NAME AND BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF OHIO,

COUNT ONE

DO FIND AND PRESENT, that CANDICE MARIE GRIESMAR on or about the 30th day of May, 2019, in the County of Summit and State of Ohio aforesaid, did commit the crime of AGGRAVATED POSSESSION OF DRUGS in that she did knowingly obtain, possess, or use a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog and the drug involved in the violation is a compound, mixture, preparation, or substance included in Schedule I or II, to wit: oxycodone, a Schedule II drug, in violation of Section 2925.11(A), 2925.11(C)(1)(a) of the Ohio Revised Code, a FELONY OF THE FIFTH DEGREE, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Ohio.

Sherri Bevan Walsh, Prosecutor
County of Summit, Ohio

Prosecutor, County of Summit, by

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

Date: 6-6-19

Grand Jury Foreperson/Deputy Foreperson

A TRUE BILL

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS COUNTY OF SUMMIT

THE STATE OF OHIO

Case No. CR-2019-05-1866

VS.

CANDICE MARIE GRIESMAR

JOURNAL ENTRY

On October 30, 2019, upon Motion of the Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Joseph McAleese, on behalf of the State of Ohio, and for good cause shown, the Court hereby orders that the Indictment in this case as to the said Defendant be DISMISSED, without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the bond posted in this case shall be released to the surety, FORTHWITH.

INDICTMENT FOR: AGGRAVATED POSSESSION OF DRUGS-2925.11(A)(C)(1)(A)-F5

SUSAN BAKER ROSS, Judge Court of Common Pleas Summit County, Ohio

/lnh

cc: Assistant Prosecutor Joseph McAleese Assistant Prosecutor Brian Stano Attorney Mark H. Ludwig Warrants Registrar's Office